Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/864,719	BRINKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie Wong	2167
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie Wong</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark D. Simpson (215)717-2243.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	,	
Claims discussed:		
1, 8, and 16		
Prior art documents discussed:		
		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
lossin		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/864,719

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicants' representative to suggest that the above claims be further defined to include LEFT OUTER JOIN (instead of just outer join) and add language to indicate that the test queries are redefined as part of a larger query. Also, all method claims should recite a "computer-implemented" method to ascertain that the claimed limitations are tied to a technological art. Applicants' representative agreed to do so and he authorized the Examiner to make the above changes via an Examiner's Amendment.